**Submitted on** **10/13/2015 10:15:45 AM**

## 1. Please specify the type of organization that you represent:

Academia
Business and industry
*** Government / Regional Economic Integration ***
IGOs
NGOs
Unions
Regional centre
Other

## 2. Please specify your contact information:

Gender
2

First name
HYO HUN

Last name
LEE

Organization name
Ministry of Environment

Country
KR

Job title
International Relations Coordinator

Email
chem6778@korea.kr

Phone number
+82-44-201-6776

## 3. Please specify the region in which your country is located

Africa
*** Asia-Pacific ***
Eastern Europe
Latin American and Caribbean
Western European and Others

## 4. Are you a designated Basel, Rotterdam or Stockholm convention contact point (Basel Focal Point, Basel Competent Authority, Rotterdam Official Contact Point, Rotterdam

**Designated National Authority, Stockholm Official Contact Point or Stockholm National Focal Point)?**

*** Yes ***
No

**5. Is your organisation accredited with the Basel, Rotterdam or Stockholm Conventions?**

Yes
No

**6. Mission: Please choose the option that you believe is more appropriate in relation to the mission statement outlined in paragraph 14:**

Acceptable
Could be improved
Not acceptable
*** No opinion ***

**7. Vision: Please choose the option that you believe is more appropriate in relation to the vision statement outlined in paragraphs 15, 16 and 17:**

Acceptable
Could be improved
Not acceptable
*** No opinion ***

**8. Definition: Please choose the option that you believe is more appropriate in relation to the definition statement outlined in paragraph 69:**

Acceptable
Could be improved
Not acceptable
*** No opinion ***

**9. The information scope of the joint clearing-house mechanism should include:**

*** Scientific information on health and environmental impacts ***
*** Information on socio-economic impacts ***
*** Legislative and regulatory information ***
*** Information on technical and financial assistance ***
Information on technology transfer
*** Information on best practices and experiences ***
*** Information on status of implementation of the Conventions ***
Other, please specify:

**10. Please specify which of the following tools and services should be provided by the joint**

**clearing-house mechanism for information exchange:**

*** Conventions' websites ***
Common websites and documentation centres at the national and regional levels*
*** Systems of information exchange** ***
*** Databases ***
Online collaboration tools
*** Online reporting ***
*** Online questionnaires ***
Mobile applications
Social media tools
Offline media (CD-ROMs, flash drives and others)
Paper based
Other, please specify:

## 11. The joint clearing-house mechanism community membership should be:

Open-ended
Selective based on criteria
*** No opinion ***
Other, please specify:

## 12. Please specify which of the following stakeholders groups should be considered as part of the joint clearing-house mechanism and which role they should play:

| | Information provider | Information user | Not part of the community | No opinion |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Parties to the Convention(s)** | **[X]** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **States non-parties to the Conventions** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Local authorities** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Environmental non-governmental organizations** | **[X]** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Industry and private sector associations** | **[X]** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **United Nations bodies and specialized agencies** | **[X]** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Funding agencies and mechanisms and other donors** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Researchers, universities and related initiatives** | **[X]** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Regional centres** | **[X]** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Workers' unions** | **[X]** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |

## 13. Other, please specify:

Not answered

## 14. How the Secretariat should collect information exchange needs and priorities?

*** Conference of the Parties ***
*** Analysis of performance indicators ***
*** Surveys ***
Regional centres consultation
Existing needs assessments processes (such as technical assistance or financial assistance needs)
Workshops
Other, please specify:

## 15. How often the Secretariat should revise information exchange needs and priorities?

*** Every year ***
Every two years
Every four years
Other, please specify:

## 16. How the evaluation of the progress made in the implementation of the joint clearing-house mechanism should be conducted?

*** Analysis of performance indicators ***
*** Surveys ***
Feedback during workshops
Other, please specify:

## 17. Which of the performance indicators outlined in the appendix to the draft strategy and listed below are more effective for evaluating the joint clearing-house mechanism?

| | Very effective | Less effective | No opinion |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Number of Information sources** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Percentage of information gaps addressed** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **User perception of value of information to meet the goals of the conventions** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Number of times tools have been accessed** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of tools developed** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of times tools have** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **been accessed per user group** | | | |
| **Number of times tools have been accessed per region** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of retrieved or distributed documents per media** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of documents** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Average number of retrieved documents per user** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of retrieved documents per user group** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of retrieved documents per region** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of documents contributed** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of documents contributed per user group** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of documents contributed per region** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of times services have been used** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of times services have been used per user group** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of times services have been used per region** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **User perception of quality of documents** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **User perception of Quality of Services** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Services performing according to predefined targets** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **User perception of user friendliness of the Tools** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **User perception of quality of information** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **User perception of speed in locating information** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **User perception of speed in information delivery (Excluding websites)** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **User perception of speed and facility for contributing and sharing information** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Number of Services** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Percentage of uptime of servers** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Number of system failures** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Average number of reported bugs per tool** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Number of requests and searches for information** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Percentage of successful searches** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Number of requests for information integration** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of requests for information integration addressed** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **User perception of helpfulness of CHM for integrating information** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of information packages** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of website pages** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of institutions per user group** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of user groups** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of network members** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of network members by user group** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of network members by region** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of information needs and priorities submitted** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Percentage of network members contributing** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Percentage of network members contributing by user group** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Percentage of network members contributing by region** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of available experts per region** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of sound measures, experiences and case studies contributed** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of joint contributions** | **[X]** | [ ] | [ ] |
| **Number of promotional events** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of different users using the system** | [ ] | **[X]** | [ ] |
| **Number of people receiving promotional materials** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of promotional materials** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Number of unique visits to website** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of partners** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of funding institutions** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of potential collaboration agreements** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |
| **Number of confirmed collaboration agreements** | [ ] | [ ] | **[X]** |

### 18. Other, please specify:

Not answered

### 19. Please provide any other comments that you may have in relation to the monitoring and evaluation:

Not answered

### 20. Is the first goal, listed above and in section IV.A of the draft strategy, a sound/adequate goal?

*** Sound ***
Could be improved
Not sound
No opinion

### 21. Do you have any other comments on the first goal, listed above and outlined in section IV.A of the draft strategy?

Not answered

### 22. Is the second goal, listed above and in section IV.B of the draft strategy, a sound/adequate goal?

*** Sound ***
Could be improved
Not sound
No opinion

**23. Do you have any other comments on the second goal, listed above and outlined in section IV.B of the draft strategy?**

Not answered

**24. Please indicate if you think that the strategy should focus on other goal and, if so, kindly describe those other goals.**

Not answered

**25. Are the implementation approaches outlined in sections IV.A.2 to 5 and IV.B.2 to 5 of the draft strategy, sound/adequate approaches?**

Sound
Could be improved
Not sound
*** No opinion ***

**26. Please provide any other comments that you may have on the draft joint clearing-house mechanism strategy**

Not answered